CANISIUS ALL-COLLEGE HONORS THESIS RUBRIC

(used for Honors Program assessment, not individual thesis grading)

Student: Thesis Adviser:	Date:
--------------------------	-------

Intended Goal

The student demonstrates four (4) advanced learning skills, as measured by eleven (11) scores.

[Use the number system below and do not substitute one of your own, i.e., do not use .5 or 1.5.]

(There are only two possible scores for "integrity"—0 or 1)

	(There are only two possible scores for "integrity"—0 or 1)					
@f.33	FAILS STANDARDS	MEETS STANDARDS	EXCEEDS STANDARDS	Scor∉		
S kills	0 pts.	1 pt.	2 pts.			
	ACADEMIC MATURITY	ACADEMIC MATURITY	ACADEMIC MATURITY			
1A	SELDOM or NEVER takes lead in research and writing; needs continual guidance from advisor	SOMETIMES takes the lead in research and writing; may need occasional guidance from advisor	USUALLY takes the lead in research and writing; does not wait for prompting from advisor			
1B	RESISTS constructive criticism from adviser	USUALLY listens to constructive criticism and incorporates some of adviser's suggestions	READILY embraces constructive criticism and adopts most of adviser's suggestions			
1C	SELDOM or NEVER meets deadlines	USUALLY meets deadlines	INVARIABLY meets deadlines			
	CRITICAL THINKING	CRITICAL THINKING	CRITICAL THINKING			
2A	UNABLE to identify salient arguments pertinent to the thesis	USUALLY identifies salient arguments pertinent to the thesis	CONSISTENTLY identifies salient arguments pertinent to the thesis			
2B	Gathers LITTLE or NO specific, relevant, and credible evidence from a variety of reliable sources	Gathers CONSIDERABLE specific, relevant, and credible evidence from a variety of reliable sources	Gathers MASSIVE specific, relevant, and credible evidence from a variety of reliable sources			
2C	SELDOM or NEVER draws warranted conclusions and/or makes unsubstantiated claims	USUALLY draws warranted conclusions from appropriate evidence	consistently draws warranted conclusions based on clear, careful reasoning and supported by appropriate evidence			
2D	NEVER generates new ideas; simply collects and repeats information	occasionally generates new ideas about topic; synthesizes what has been learned through research	FREQUENTLY generates new ideas about topic; goes beyond synthesizing what has been learned through research			
	WRITING CONVENTIONS	WRITING CONVENTIONS	WRITING CONVENTIONS			
3A	Writing style is CONYOLUTED and SERIOUSLY FLAWED	Writing style is generally clear and FLUENT	Writing style is crystal clear, even ELEGANT			
3B	PERVASIVE errors in spelling, punctuation, grammar, and formatting distract greatly from the paper's content	SPORADIC errors in spelling, punctuation, grammar, and formatting do not generally distract from the paper's content	HARDLY ANY errors in spelling, punctuation, grammar, and formatting			
3C	Employs LITTLE , INAPPROPRIATE , or NO documentation for the topic	Employs APPROPRIATE documentation style for the topic	Employs DETAILED AND APPROPRIATE documentation style for the topic			
	INTEGRITY	INTEGRITY				
4A	Is CARRLESS or DECEPTIVE in presenting evidence, by suppressing, distorting, or inventing material; claims credit for material created by others	unfailingly presents evidence in a fair manner; scrupulously acknowledges the sources of all borrowed material				
			TOTAL (add the 11 scores)			

SCORING SCALE: Plagiarism (0 pts.) Fails Standards (0-6 pts.) Meets Standards (7-13 pts.) Exceeds Standards (14-21 pts.)